IN THE EQUALITY COURT

(HELD AT THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT UBOMBO)

In the matter between:

Case #: 1/2017

ISIMANGALISO WETLAND PARK AUTHORITY

CO FIRST APPLICANT

DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM

SECOND APPLICANT

And

SODWANA BAY GUEST HOUSE /

KATARÍNA KRIŽÁNIOVÁ (Manageress)

FIRST RESPONDENT

ENKI ANDRE M. SLADE

SECOND RESPONDENT

ARGUMENTS AND STATEMENTS FOR REVIEW

Be pleased to take judicial cognizance that this Good Faith Public Notice is for all Jurisdictions and that this declaration of rights and all related communication is Executed Outside the Republic for Use Within the Republic in accordance with *rule 63 of the HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA* rules.

Notice to principal is notice to agent; notice to agent is notice to principal.

Introduction:

The Respondents, Andre and Katarina, met for the first time in 2012 when Andre invited Katarina to translate His blog with the Tesla 369 code crack (neanderthol.blogspot.com). His wealth of information and captivating voice amidst the chaos and Her love of wisdom, openess and true desire to understand the world resulted in Her joining His journey to truth, becoming inherent part of the project He had initiated all by Himself. As two independent objective researchers from opposite sexes, opposite ages, opposite characters, opposite traditions and opposite hemispheres They joined forces in evaluating Andre's prior published work (ANNEXURE 1. I).

"The queen of the south shall rise up in the judgment with this generation, and shall condemn it: for she came from the uttermost parts of the earth to hear the wisdom of Solomon; and, behold, a greater than Solomon is here."

Matthew 12:42

Almighty God brought The Queen from the oppossite hemisphere here to South Africa, where The Son of God was prophesied to be coming from (ANNEXURE 2: VIDEO 6). They devoted Their lives exclusively to the thorough research of every aspect of humanity, which changed Their perception. As result, in 2014 They published this great prophetic book of truth (ANNEXURE 2: VIDEO 4) aimed at delivering an ultimately important message to humanity in an effort to set them free.

The Respondents want to draw your attention to the fact that the irrational fear of being labelled a "racist" impairs the ability of rational thinking and to the fact that They are well loved by Black neighbours, even receiving occasional huggs from them.

Tomayak

Underlined text in this document is Our emphasis.

With regard to the proceedings of 21- 22/11/2017:

The Department of Justice and Constitutional Development RSA states that:

"The establishment of the Equality Courts seeks to achieve the expeditious and <u>informal</u> processing of cases, which <u>facilitate participation by the parties</u> to the proceedings, and also seeks <u>to ensure access to justice to all</u> persons in relevant judicial and other dispute resolution forums."

The Equality court did not adhere to this prescribed manner of the proceedings:

The process was not informal and did not facilitate Our participation; We were not asked, at any time of the proceedings, to present all Our evidence; netiher were We prompted to do so. The magistrate abruptly closed the case without Us being aware, disregarding The First Respondent's closing statement and the evidence We had prepared (ANNEXURE 1. A - J). We were denied Our constitutional right to present evidence to prove Our innocence by The Human Rights Commission, to which the Magistrate submitted. The proceedings lacked professionality; everyone present in court was acting emotionally, not being capable of objective judgment and thus denying The Respondents Their access to just administrative action as provided for in *Section 33 of The Constitution*.

With regard to Judgment:

Ad Page 2, Paragraph 2

SAHRC did not help with in-depth contribution but was prejudice from the very start; in justice and fairness they would have referred the matter to relevant authorities with the

Immayoh

knowledge to objectively evaluate the said scientific evidence presented by The Respondents.

Ad Page 6, Paragraph 2

Enki Andre Slade's defence was reduced/lowered in value by claiming that He is using His Bible, Torah, to defend Himself when in fact He was using international Bible of Kings James Version, which is the official version used by The Roman Curia who are at the head of all legal bodies in the world.

Ad Page 6, Paragraph 5

The Magistrate recognised that Andre Slade did a layout of how The Bible, humanity and the planets work, yet he decided to ban this important information from the public, contravening *Section 32 of The Constitution*, denying South Africans their god-given right to truth.

Ad Page 9, Paragraph 3

It is not the conclusion arrived at by Andre but that of many independent scientists/ experts worldwide that Blacks are less intelligent than Whites. The evidence We had prepared and were denied to present to the court included testimonies of two black genii (someone with very high intelligence) that undoubtedly proves this fact (ANNEXURE 1. A and B).

Ad Page 9, Paragraph 4

Point 4. Not all Whites are the children of God but only the Israelites, ie purebred White Caucasians with blue eyes and light to dark brown hair who are the descendants of Jacob/Israel.

"Then you shall say to Pharaoh, 'Thus says the LORD, "Israel is My son, My firstborn."

1 mught

Ad Page 11, Paragraph 1

We as Hebrews are married in YAHWEH God Almighty not through a corporation:

"Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife: and they shall be one flesh."

Genesis 2:24

"And he answered and said unto them, Have ye not read, that he which made them at the beginning made them male and female, And said, For this cause shall a man leave father and mother, and shall cleave to his wife: and they twain shall be one flesh? Wherefore they are no more twain, but one flesh. What therefore God hath joined together, let not man put asunder."

Matthew 19: 4-6

Ad Page 12, Paragraph 2

Slindile Mthiyane only confirmed the known truth that <u>reality is a painful truth to swallow</u> (ANNEXURE 1.K, ANNEXURE 2: VIDEO 2); however, the magistrate failed to mention important fact being that she stated on the stand that she thought We loved her and that she did not feel that We hated her. The court does not give enough importance to the fact that <u>Blacks call Whites animals</u>, which Slindile honestly confirmed, nor to the fact that the neighbours of The Respondents signed the petition to support Them, stating They were important for the community and never treated them unfairly (ANNEXURE 1 H). Her REPLYING FORM to The Respondents' WITNESS BRIEFING was part of the refused evidence (ANNEXURE 1.G).

It is evident that only Whites qualify as "racists" as Blacks are protected and even praised no matter how much they discriminate against Whites, fairly or unfairly (ANNEXURE 2:

VIDEO 2 and 3). Furthermore, it is a norm all over the world to contravene *The Equality*Act for the purpose of competitions such as beauty or talent contests (ANNEXURE 1.W).

This unacceptable attitude of double standard once again confirms that the Equality principle is not equally applied to all, as it claims to be, and that it is prejudice.

"Divers weights are an abomination unto the LORD; and a false balance is not good."

Proverbs 20: 23

Ad Page 12 paragraph 4

"Section 3(3) of *The Equality Act* provides that the complaint lodged must not be interpreted in isolation but <u>must be interpreted in its historical context</u>, taking into account the <u>purpose of the Act</u> and thus giving effect to the Constitution."

Section 2 of *the Equality Act* specifies the purpose of the Act, including that it is set:

d) to provide for procedures for the determination of circumstances under which discrimination is unfair.

The court did not make provision for these procedures.

Ad Page 12, Paragraph 6

"Human dignity, <u>freedom</u> and equality, are the foundation values of our society. The right to dignity is reiterated <u>as a founding value of our democratic state</u>..."

Apostolic Letter issued MOTU PROPRIO on 11/7/2013 by the head of The Catholic Church Pope Francis (ANNEXURE 3) proves that this state is not democratic and that the freedom was taken from society by this evil institution (ANNEXURE 2: VIDEO 5). This legal document of highest authority, which entered into force on 1/9/2013, confirms that

humanity is subjected to slavery, servitude and forced labour and thus contravenes

Section 13 of The Constitution. The hierarchy set by The Holy See elevates The Pope to the position of God, directly oppossing God's hierarchy.

Ad Page 13, Paragraph 2

"For centuries that past was defined by the extensive and systematic exclusion and subordination of Black people in all aspects of political, social and economic life..."

"Deep-seated <u>racial prejudices</u> and racial disparities in education, health status, income and employment, access to land and housing persists to this day."

Subordination of Blacks was not a deep-seated racial prejudice as the word prejudice refers to a <u>preconceived opinion that is not based on reason or actual experience.</u> To see the historical context, one has to take into account that it has been proven by actual experience that this subordination has a purpose as Black Africans are simply not able to independently run a technological civilisation succesfully (ANNEXURE 2: VIDEO 1) and that South Africa excelled as the top country in Africa because of it. The present state of South Africa is the result of destroying this imperative hierarchy set by God; therefore, equality is a man-made construct and does not exist.

"And the kingdom and dominion, and the greatness of the kingdom under the whole heaven, shall be given to the people of the saints of the most High, whose kingdom is an everlasting kingdom, and all dominions shall serve and obey him."

Daniel 7: 27

Equality in itself is prejudice.

Immanda

"Equality means that our society cannot tolerate them, that <u>treat them as less capable for</u> no good reason, or that otherwise <u>offend fundamental human dignity."</u>

The Respondents have very good reason to treat Blacks as less capable; Their evidence was just never really considered by the court. To offend one's dignity is to not tell them the truth as without the truth there is no dignity:

"It was wise and fitting for the UN to recognize the fundamental connection between the two ideas of truth and dignity...." (https://www.huffingtonpost.com/paul-seils/truth-and-dignity-for-all_b_6925624.html)

"The Court held that truth-telling was the moral base of the transition from the injustice of apartheid to democracy and constitutionalism. It held further that the TRC process, which was premised on the necessity of truth-telling in pursuit of national unity and reconciliation, could not operate so as to render the truth false." (http://www.fhr.org.za/about/our-work/small-grants-project/right-truth-right-know/right-truth-right-know/law/)

"Sept. 29 (UPI) -- Pope Francis announced the theme for World Communications Day on Twitter on Friday as 'the truth will set you free' -- an effort, in part, to stamp out phony News." (source: https://www.upi.com/Top_News/World-News/2017/09/29/Pope-Francis-tweets-the-truth-will-set-you-free-theme-for-World-Communications-Day/6351506695351/)

Ad Page 14, Paragraph 2-3

"Everyone has inherent dignity and the right to have their dignity respected protected."

The dignity of The Respondents has not been considered at all.

Ad Page 15, Paragraph 1

Immayak

".... the right to human dignity has been described by the Constitutional Court as the most important of all human rights and the source of all other personal right."

One cannot highly regard/dignify but rather condemn criminals and their bestiality, brutality and ignorance, which is synonymous with majority of Black males and evident in everyday news. It is demeaning and humaliting to the nation of South Africa as a whole.

Ad Page 16, Paragraph 5

Section 10 of *The Equality Act* deals with Hate Speech, and which provides that no person may publish, propagate, advocate or communicate words based on one or more of the prohibited grounds which has the intention to: -

- a) Be hurtful
- b) Be harmful or incite harm
- c) Promote or propagate hatred

The Respondents have no such intention whatsoever; They have done this <u>out of</u> love.

Ad Page 17, Paragraph 3

The truth can by no means constitute hate speech as it is the highest principle in law and society.

Ad Page 17, Paragraph 5

"It is correct that Mr. Slade <u>is protected by section 16 (1)(b)</u> of the Constitution to express himself freely. However, if such freedom of expression incites violence or hatred and is based on, amongst others, race and religion, and which incites harm, then he is deprived

Immayor Jah

of the protection offered by the section 16 (1). <u>Section 16 (2) (b) and (c)</u> will offer the resistance to such freedom of expression."

The Respondents are protected not only by Section 16.1 (b) but also 16.1 (a) and (d).

Section 16.2 (b) refers to <u>incitement of imminent violence</u>;

The problem here is that majority of Blacks are incited to violence by just about everything they do not understand/like or agree with, xenophobia being a classical example.

Section 16.2 (c) refers to advocacy of hatred based on, amongst others, race and religion; there has been no advocacy of hatred whatsoever and no one can prove the contrary. It was all done purely out of love, as stated to the media.

Ad Page 18, Paragraph 3

"Remarks such as:

...Blacks are not regarded as human beings but animals

"Behold, the days come, saith the LORD, that I will sow the house of Israel and the house of Judah with the seed of man, and with the seed of beast."

Jeremiah 31: 27

"There shall not an <u>hand</u> touch it, but he shall surely be stoned, or shot through; whether it be <u>beast</u> or man, it shall not live: when the trumpet soundeth long, they shall come up to the mount."

Exodus 19: 13

...they are uncivilised

Refer to ANNEXURE 2: VIDEO 2, ANNEXURE 1.K -W, ANNEXURE 5

- Immayah

...Blacks were servants

"And now have I given all these lands into the hand of Nebuchadnezzar the king of Babylon, my servant; and the beasts of the field have I given him also to serve him."

Jeremiah 27:6

...Whites are children of God but not Blacks

"For thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth. The LORD did not set his love upon you, nor choose you, because ye were more in number than any people; for ye were the fewest of all people"

Deuteronomy 7: 6-7

...their birth rate is uncontrollable and they are responsible for high rate of crime such as rape and murder of white farmers (ANNEXURE 1.L- M) amount to Hate Speech in terms of section 10 of the Equality Act."

Ad Page 18, Paragraph 4

"He went to great lengths to justify his discrimination against the Blacks by comparing the many physical characteristics and attributes that exists between the brain sizes, cubic capacity of the brain, blood type, bone density, different bodily features etc. The conclusion reached is that a black person has limited mental intellect."

The conclusion reached by The Respondents is that Blacks and Whites are two different species/kinds within the Homo genus; one of Their demands will be that the taxonomy of Homos be corrected and updated to accommodate this reality.

Ad Page 19, Paragraph 1

"The acknowledgment and acceptance of difference is particularly importnant in this country..."

The difference between Blacks and Whites however is so profound that it renders them two different species.

Ad Page 19, Paragraph 2 -3

The Declaration of Race and Racial Prejudice which was adopted and proclaimed by UNESCO in 1978 is prejudice in itself and a product of Satan's Law.

Article 1 and 2.1 of this Declaration are incorrect.

Ad Page 20, Paragraph 2

The Respondents did provide the court with enough reference to scientific evidence in their book *Where to from Here: Cognition*, which Magistrate Moodley has read as evidence. Furthermore, the SAHRC objected to The Respondents' demand to present evidence, which included scientific evidence for, amongst others, God's existence (ANNEXURE 1.J).

Ad Page 20, Paragraph 3

"By not regarding Blacks as <u>human beings</u> but as <u>animals</u>, he stripes them bare of their dignity and reduces them to an <u>inanimate object without any rights whatsoever</u>."

Ballentine's Law Dictionary, 1948 Edition defines <u>Human Being as See monster</u>; monster is defined as "a human-being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal".

In other words, if one calls him or herself a human being, they are saying, "I'm an animal; I'm godless/heathen; I'm unrepentant: I'm wicked, sinful and dissolute; I'm able to do all things and be perfect without Christ; I'm subject to man's law, rather than God's Law."

(source: http://www.hisholvchurch.net/sermon/human.htm)

Magistrate's prejudice reasoning is absolutely radical and incorrect; the contrary is the truth: the word animal refers to and literally means living breathing being or having a life/breath; whereas the word inanimate means dead or without breath/motion.

Yahweh, God of Israel, is the God of righteousness; He has given everyone their appropriate rights.

Ad Page 22, Paragraph 1-3

"By excluding <u>Blacks only</u> whilst allowing the other race groups the right to accommodation is outrageuosly discriminatory in terms of section 9(4) of *The Constitution* as it amount to discrimination based on race."

According to Encyclopaedia Britannica <u>racism is "any action, practice, or belief that reflects</u> the <u>racial worldview - the ideology that humans may be divided into separate and exclusive biological entities called 'races'</u>; that there is a causal link between inherited physical traits and traits of personality, intellect, morality, and other cultural and behavioral features; and that some races are innately superior to others".

According to the above definiton, the court and *The Constitution* itself would be considered "racist" as they both distinguish Blacks as a separate "race".

The Respondents however know that race does not exist as it is a social construct; They merely believe that Blacks are a different species of animal to Whites.

To be fair and just, The Respondents published on 22/6/2016 the complete list of whom They do not accommodate according to Their law, tradition, belief, culture, and conscience, not limited to Blacks only (ANNEXURE 4), and therefore the above statement is incorrect.

"Mr. Slade also uses his Bible which he calls the torah as a justification or defence to the remarks made by him. He says that the Torah is the real and the most superior law and the Constitution is subordinate to it.

Section 2 of The Constitution provides that:

The Constitution is the supreme law of the Republic, law or conduct inconsistent with it is invalid and the obligations imposed by it must be fulfilled."

Originally *The Consitution* of the Republic of South Africa stated in its Preamble that it humbly submits to God Almighty; His laws are documented in the first five books of The Roman Catholic Bible, "Jewish Bible" and to some extent in Muslim Bible, The Quran, as well. These five books originally written in **Hebrew** and known as the TORAH make up the oldest standing law. The Bible is the best defence there is:

In Masterpiece Cakeshop vs. Colorado Civil Rights Commission President Trump administration, amongst many others, supports the owner Jack Phillips as they also uphold Christian priciples and respect The Bible:

"The case in which the owners of Masterpiece Cakeshop must defend their rights is yet another sign that this once great nation is gravely ill. When man's law takes precedence over God's law, there can be only one outcome. Opposing judges and lawyers are quite willing to throw God's law under the bus, but what will they do when they stand in final

Immayah Jak judgment before the eternal judge? You can bet they will want to plea-bargain, which will not be possible. So sad."

Spencer Downs

Ad Page 23, Paragraph 1

The Respondents have the right to do business with whom they want. It is rather hypocritical of non-believers/ heathens, who demand the right to their lifestyle and beliefs, to adamantly impose their ways upon The Respondents or the whole of society.

By putting one's rights above another's rights the principle of equality is rendered null and void.

"It's a simple thing: If I own the company, I decide who my customers are. When did we lose such a freedom? It's so basic there's no need to mention the First Amendment. When we can't choose our customers, we've lost freedom at the most elemental level."

Chip Henry

Ad Page 24, Paragraph 2

"By adopting *The Constitution* the nation signalled its commitment to reconcilliation and national unity...."

The Respondents dare to argue that by adopting *The Constitution* the nation signalled its commitment and humble submission to God Almighty in the first place:

Preamble of The Constitution of 1961 declares this nation's loyalty to God:

"IN HUMBLE SUBMISSION to Almighty God, Who controls the destinies of nations and the history of peoples...."

Immayak Jon The new *Constitution of South Africa* does not Humbly Submit to God Almighty anymore but rather simply demands protection for the nation from Him despite the fact that it does not give effect to His Law. By the birth of the "New South Africa", this nation abandoned God's Law for Satan's Law, while the national anthem is seemingly a prayer to God Almighty. For a nation's prayers to be heard, they must obey God's Law:

"Now we know that God heareth not sinners: but if any man be a worshipper of God, and doeth his will, him he heareth."

John 9: 31

To close the book on the past, the book of past first must be opened, understood, learnt from in order not to repeat the same mistakes again.

Page 26, Paragraph 1

"One can say they are a couple made in heaven as both sing from the same hymn sheet...."

The magistrate's statement is correct and The Bible describes Us, amongst others, here:

"Then said he, These are the two anointed ones, that stand by the LORD of the whole earth."

Zechariah 4: 14

"And I will give power unto my two witnesses, and they shall prophesy a thousand two hundred and threescore days, clothed in sackcloth. These are the two olive trees, and the two candlesticks standing before the God of the earth."

Revelation 11: 3- 4

Immayah Mac "And he saith unto me, Write, Blessed are they which are called unto the marriage supper of the Lamb. And he saith unto me, These are the true sayings of God." Revelation 19:9

Ad Page 27, Paragraph 4

"I accordingly make the following order in terms of section 21 (2) of the Equality Act.

1. ...I order Mr. Slade to pay an amount of R 50 000..."

The Respondents will not pay an admission of guilt as They did no injustice:

"Also to punish the just is not good, nor to strike princes for equity."

Proverbs 17: 26

- 2 ...You are ordered to remove all materials from your website which are discriminatory and demeaning to Blacks...
- 3. You are ordered to <u>stop distributing or publishing any material which</u>
 <u>discriminates, incites hatred, demeans, embarrass and humiliates Blacks in general.</u>

You are accordingly ordered to <u>stop distribution and further publication of your book titled Where to from Here: Cognition</u> as its content contravenes the provisions of section 12 of the Equality Act as certain chapters of the book discriminates against Blacks."

The Respondents want to draw court's attention to the fact that according to this order neither would the media be able to publish almost anything as the news is daily filled with material which embarasses, demeans and humiliates Blacks in general (ANNEXURE 1.K-V).

- Immoyal

- 4. "The publisher of this book viz *Xlibri*s is ordered not to publish or distribute the said book in South Africa...."
- 5. "I also direct the Film and Production Board of South Africa to monitor the publication, distribution and possession of the book *Where to from Here: Cognition*, thus ensuring that the book does not surface within the borders of South Africa..."

 The points 3-5 of the order directly contravene *Section 32 of The Constitution* by denying to the public of South Africa their right of access to information.

The Holy Bible, the word of God, contradicts the Magistrate's order; God Almighty orders the world to read this book of truth and distribute it widely, warning that bitter truth is not easily to be swallowed:

"And I went unto the angel, and said unto him, Give me the little book. And he said unto me, Take it, and eat it up; and it shall make thy belly bitter, but it shall be in thy mouth sweet as honey. And I took the little book out of the angel's hand, and ate it up; and it was in my mouth sweet as honey: and as soon as I had eaten it, my belly was bitter. And he said unto me, Thou must prophesy again before many peoples, and nations, and tongues.

Revelation 10: 9-11

The Respondents maintain that God's order is above the order of man and that everything is directed by YAHWEH God Almighty, and He alone is The Supreme Judge:

"For the LORD (YAHWEH) is our judge, the LORD is our lawgiver, the LORD is our king; he will save us."

Isaiah 33: 22

"It is he that sitteth upon the circle of the earth, and the inhabitants thereof are as grasshoppers; that stretcheth out the heavens as a curtain, and spreadeth them out as a

tent to dwell in: That bringeth the princes to nothing; <u>he maketh the judges of the earth as vanity</u>."

Isaiah 40: 22-23

"Be wise now therefore, O ye kings: be instructed, ye judges of the earth." Psalms 2: 10

"For all his judgments were before me, and I did not put away his statutes from me."

Psalm 18: 22

It is unlawful and unfair to punish The Son of God for doing His work, for being witness of the truth:

"Pilate therefore said unto him, Art thou a king then? Jesus answered, Thou sayest that I am a king. To this end was I born, and for this cause came I into the world, that I should bear witness unto the truth. Every one that is of the truth heareth my voice." John 18: 37

In conclusion, The Respondents want to draw the court's attention to the following:

Rashida Manjoo, the author of "The Recognition of Muslim Personal Laws in South Africa: Implications for Women's Human Rights, Human Rights Program at Harvard Law School Working Paper, July 2007" (www.law.harvard.edu/programs/hrp), who served as an Advocate of the High Court and the country's Commission on Gender Equality, states in this document that:

"any attempt to change, modify, challenge or violate the constitutional values and norms is required to be justified and must be judged in terms of the consequences and the impact it may induce."

The Respondents can justify without shadow of a doubt that <u>the Equality Act</u> is <u>Satan's Law</u> and that it contradicts <u>The Constitution</u> itself; furthermore, They can prove unprecedented harm and damage it has caused to South Africans, including compromising their once strictly preserved "racial" integrity. <u>The Equality Act</u> aims at destroying God's Law for preserving blood purity, as Apartheid or <u>the Virginia</u> Racial Integrity Act of 1924 enforced (ANNEXURE 1.F).

Rashido concludes that:

- Immayah

"The situation South Africa is faced with now demands a closer look at the different models of multiculturalism various countries have followed, and also the impact of their approaches in the recognition of Muslim Personal Laws. The South African context requires institutional scrutiny that can appreciate the situational complexity faced by individuals who are culturally and legally tied to both the group and the state. The challenge is balancing equality rights and the right to religion."

Last but not least, self-determination is crucial to Hebrews/Judeans (Jews).

Denying the Jewish people their right to self-determination is part of the EU's working definition of anti-Semitism; it would contravene *article 235 of South Africa's Constitution*.

Immayah Mar

Enki Yahshuah

Inanna Immayah

Dated at Ubombo Court on 19 February 2018

I certify that the deponent has acknowledged that She understands the contents of this affidavit which was sworn to before me at Ubombo Magistrate's court on this the 20th day of June 2017. That the same are true and correct to the best of Her knowledge. That She has no objection to taking this oath which She also considers binding on Her conscience and uttering the words "So help me God".

Signed) PB NISHANGASE

COMMISSIONER OF OATHS

Full names: PHILANI BHEKANI NosHANGASE

Capacity: CONSTABLE

Address: UBOMBO SAPS

SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE SERVICE

SOCIAL CRIME PREVENTION

JOZINI

JOZINI