

IN THE EQUALITY COURT

(HELD AT THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT UBOMBO)

In the matter between:

Case #: 01/2017

iSIMANGALISO WETLAND PARK AUTHORITY

FIRST APPLICANT

DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM

SECOND APPLICANT

And

SODWANA BAY GUEST HOUSE /

KATARÍNA KRIŽÁNIOVÁ (Manageress)

FIRST RESPONDENT

ENKI ANDRE M. SLADE

SECOND RESPONDENT

WITNESS BRIEFING

We, The Respondents hereby wish to inform you, Peter Jacobs, as a witness in this case as to the line of questioning you will be facing. Indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statements of opinion and fact on the replying form. If you disagree, please state the reason for disagreement. This is in order for you to be sufficiently informed about your role in the trial and also to make the proceedings more efficient. Remember that your purpose is not to testify on behalf of anybody but simply to let the presiding officer know what you know.

Please submit your replying form via email to both of these addresses: xxxx & yyyy or hand-deliver it to The Respondents at Emoyeni, Sodwana Bay Main Road on or before 22/10/2017.

Set 1

- 1) Truth holds the highest value in life. Both science and justice call for us to seek and tell the truth, not to tell lies and spread error.
- 2) God has been scientifically proven as The Creator of all life. I believe in God.

- 3) Andre Slade stated to me that He had Immaculate Conception on 31/08/2012 and that He is The Messiah; regardless of this, Our dinners together continued as He had been a choice companion for many years.
- 4) Andre Slade is an honourable and skillful man, whose guidance I valued; I considered him a good friend. I did however witness a radical change in Him some time after amalgamating with Katarína Križáni.
- 5) During the first few months after Katarína Križáni had come to Sodwana Bay as a volunteer, I witnessed Her overaffection towards everyone, not discriminating at all. She gave hugs and kisses to Black or White, stranger or not.
- 6) I read overnight my complimentary physical copy of the Book written by The Respondents *Where To From Here: Cognition* and evaluated it as a superhuman effort.
- 7) Shortly after The Respondents published Their Book in October 2014, I was denied access to Their property on the grounds of my disinterest in Their journey to truth and Their work; I was told that I represent Satan and that me and my family support Satan's system, which was causing conflict of interest with Theirs.
- 8) A natural phenomenon known as Ethnic Nepotism or favouring one's own kind/relatives is described by Sociobiology as the tendency of humans to favour members of their own "racial" group by postulating that all animals evolve toward being more altruistic toward kin in order to propagate more copies of their common genes. It claims genetically similar people tend to seek one another out and to provide mutually supportive environments such as marriage, friendship, and social groups.
- 9) I discriminate my clients on the basis of their culture and intellect. I prefer foreigners.
- 10) Sub-Saharan Africans/Blacks' average IQ was recorded as 70 in various published independent scientific journals.
- 11) Black South Africans are responsible for South Africa's ranking as one of the countries with the highest violent crime rate.
- 12) Prevalent violent crime in South Africa is affecting society's mental stability negatively.
- 13) Studies show descendants of so-called Jews from Medieval Germany throughout Europe have IQ +/- 20% higher than global average; "Ashkenazi Jews" have a median IQ of 117.
- 14) The Department of Tourism and Economic Development are in conflict of interest with the Department of Environmental Affairs.

- 15) BEE/Affirmative Action is unfairly discriminatory against Whites and it is devastating to their mental health.
- 16) iSimangaliso gate entrance fees and beach taxes have damaged tourism to Sodwana Bay, impacting negatively on the Local Community from a business point of view.
- 17) Sihle Zikalala publicly deformed The Respondents' characters by labeling Them with "hate speech" and branding Them "racists".
- 18) I am witness to the discrimination by the locals towards The Respondents following Zikalala's abovementioned utterance.
- 19) Black and White local residents of the area surrounding The Park live in harmony, yet most of them feel that the Park Authority is unjust towards them and that they have no say.
- 20) Scientific fact/findings cannot be "racist" as they are objectively derived.
- 21) Despite the fact that peer-reviewed academic journals on the subject of "racial" differences are backed up by scientific research, many in the media, the government, and unfortunately even in the universities and colleges skillfully avoid all such evidence.
- 22) Evolutionary psychology is sociobiological science that seeks to explain through universal mechanisms of behaviour why humans act the way they do. This science is considered "racist". It is predominantly rejected as it holds a long-time refuted idea that intelligence is influenced by "racial" origin.
- 23) All psychology is evolutionary psychology.
- 24) The following statement is correct:

"The remarkable resistance to racial science in our times has led to comparisons with the inquisition of Rome, active during the Renaissance.... Astronomy and the physical sciences had their Copernicus, Kepler, and Galileo a few centuries ago; society and the welfare of humanity is the better for it today. In a directly analogous fashion, psychology and the social sciences today have their Darwin, Galton, and Rushton."

- Acclaim for Professor J. Philippe Rushton's book [*Race, Evolution, and Behavior*](#) by Glayde Whitney, *Contemporary Psychology*
- 25) The following statement is correct:

"Science, however, is objective. It can't give us our goals, but it can tell us how easy or difficult it will be to reach our goals. Knowing more about race differences may help us to

give every child the best possible education and help us to understand some of our chronic social problems better.” [Source](#)

26) The following statement is correct:

“If we want to understand human behavior, the social sciences must get back together with the biological sciences. When we look at both genes and environment we may be able to understand human problems. With that knowledge, society can then go about trying to solve them. The first step is for all of us to be as honest as we can be about race, evolution, and behavior.” [Source](#)

27) Communism is a psychological warfare strategy aimed at controlling the population through mind attack (brainwashing); it has a profound negative psychological impact on the mental health of its subjects. Mind attack is done through the concept of DOUBLETHINK: the power of holding two contradictory beliefs in one’s mind simultaneously and accepting both of them.

28) Intelligence, so defined, can be measured, and intelligence tests measure it well. They are among the most accurate (in technical terms, reliable and valid) of all psychological tests and assessments.

29) IQ 70- 75 is being considered the threshold for mental retardation.

30) IQ is strongly related, probably more so than any other single measurable human trait, to many important educational, occupational, economic, and social outcomes.

31) The following statement is correct

“The military uses intelligence testing to evaluate the mental aptitude of recruits. Scores on the ASVAB, which is administered to every new enlistee, help determine what job an applicant can apply to serve in. For example, an enlistee wanting to serve as an avionics specialist in the Air Force would need higher scores than an enlistee looking to serve in base services.”. This is not the case in South Africa. [Source](#)

32) Due to corruption and weak law enforcement by government, illegal Chinese fishing in South African waters has hugely reduced the amount of sea-life, devastating South African Environment, Tourism and Economy. This goes directly in contrast with NDP2030.

33) The Leatherback Restaurant at Sodwana Bay Lodge sells fish caught on charters in The Park despite the fact that it is forbidden to do so.

FYI: Witness Preparation (source: *Your Guide to the South African Equality Courts, 2015*)

There are two parts to witness testimony: (1) the witnesses' responses to your questions (direct examination), and (2) their responses to the opposing parties' questions (cross-examination). Unfortunately, many unprepared witnesses have enormous difficulty in responding to cross-examination and treat questions from the opposing party with hostility.

The reason for this is two-fold. First, they often believe that they are testifying on your behalf, and therefore tend to take sides. Secondly, they may view the opposing party as the enemy, and they may testify differently when you are asking the questions as opposed to when the other side is asking the questions. A change in demeanor of a witness tends to show bias to the presiding officer and needs to be avoided, so that the witness is viewed as neutral and reliable.

Preparing the Witness for direct and Cross-Examination Testimony

Tip 1: Explain that the witness's purpose is not to testify on behalf of anybody, but is simply to let the presiding officer know what the witness knows. In this regard, it is important that witnesses understand that they are not advocates, but simply people who have information that may be useful to the decision maker.

Tip 2: Explain to the witnesses that they should: (i) listen to each question carefully; (ii) answer only the question asked; and (iii) then be quiet and wait for the next question. The untrained witness tends to ramble and say things that are irrelevant, or worse, wrong.

Example:

An easy way to demonstrate this principle is to ask the witness "do you know what time it is?" Almost invariably the witness will look at his/her watch and say, "it is 9:30 a.m." This is the wrong answer. The question only calls for a "yes" or "no" answer. Had you wanted to know what time it was, you would have asked the question, "what time is it?".

This example helps witnesses understand that they answer only the question that is being asked and then remain quiet.

Tell witnesses that when they go beyond the scope of the direct answer to a question, whether it is from the complainant or the respondent, they open up a door that may allow for greater cross-examination than what was otherwise available. If they introduce new facts, the other party may be able to ask them about those facts. Additionally, the witness may say something that confuses the presiding officer. A good practice is to make sure you know everything the witness will say in response to prepared questions.

Tip 3: Make sure the witnesses understand that they must only testify about things they actually know, not about something that they assume is true.

Tip 4: Explain to the witnesses that they should never guess. A guess to a question can only have one of two results: the guess is correct or the guess is incorrect.

When the guess is incorrect, the witness will be made to look foolish if properly cross-examined. Explain that if the witness does not know the answer to a question, the proper answer is "I do not know."

Tip 5: Explain to the witnesses that they are to show the same respect to the other side's representative as they show to you. Being disrespectful to one side demonstrates a bias and weakens the testimony.

Tip 6: Advise the witnesses to look directly into the eyes of the presiding officer when they are testifying. When the witnesses are listening to questions, they can look at the person asking the question, but when testifying, they should look at the person whom you are trying to convince with the testimony.

....

The most important concepts for witnesses to remember are:

- ◆ do not take sides;
- ◆ listen carefully to the question being asked;
- ◆ answer only that question, then be quiet;
- ◆ never guess.

When schooled on these basic principles, witnesses can generally be relied on not to make unanticipated statements.

Applying these principles to our sample case...mr. Klein will testify about what he observed on January 1, 2012. You should explain to mr. Klein that he should only testify as to exactly what he observed, and he is not to serve as mr. masuku's advocate.

You should also advise him not to get hostile with the opposing counsel. Instead he should listen very carefully to the questions, and provide short, thoughtful and direct answers. He should never guess – if he does not know the answer, he should inform the court. He should look at the presiding officer when he answers any questions.

....

Date: 28th day of September 2017

Place: Mbazwana, Sodwana Bay